



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov ١

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/248,465	02/11/1999	JOHN C. ANDERSON	TESTP0101US	8795
759	90 04/24/2002			
CYNTHIA S MURPHY			EXAMINER	
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR NINETEENTH FLOOR 1621 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44115			LE, DEBBIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	#9
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	A 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	I Ameliaanta)				
·		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/248,465	STACK ET AL.				
omec Action Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication ann	DEBBIE M LE	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 J</u>	anuary 2002 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicants' argument filed 1/24/02 (paper # 8). Claims 11, 14 are canceled. Claim 21 is newly added.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-13, 15-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2177

Claims 1-10, 12-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonnenfeld (US Patent 6,112,049) in view of Lotvin et al (US Patent (5,907,831).

As per claim 1, Sonnenfeld discloses a system for generating a test comprising:

providing a host system and a plurality of remote terminals operatively coupled to the internet (col. 1, lines 5-9, col. 3, lines 12-45);

inputting questions at one of the remote terminals; compiling the questions at the host system to make a compiled test (col. 12, lines 56-65).

Sonnenfeld does not explicitly teach a test-taker is required to pay to take the compile test. However, Lotvin discloses a test-taker is required to pay to take the test (fig. 4, col. 9, lines 36-64). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the process of charging a fee for accessing information provide over internet because it would meet the user's need for access in way that is secure but fast.

As per claim 2, Sonnenfeld teaches the steps of providing a home page that is accessible to both potential test-makers and test-takers and that allows users to cast themselves as either a test-maker or a test-taker (col. 13-14, lines 65-10, col. 16, lines 25-35).

As per claim 3, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the test-maker is required to input an identifier (col. 17-18, lines 1-3).

As per claim 4, Sonnenfeld teaches the step of editing a compiled test (col. 8, lines 13-27, col. 18, lines 58-61).

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 5, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the step of posting the step includes placing the compiled test in a directory for access by potential test-takers (col. 13, lines 37-42, col. 15-16, lines 4-7, col. 66, lines 54-63).

As per claim 6, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the directory has a plurality of categories corresponding to different types of tests and wherein the compiled test is placed in the appropriate category (col. 6, lines 2-3, 57-58, col. 9, lines 17).

As per claim 7, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the step of placing the compiled test in a directory includes the steps of placing a just-made test into a temporary category, reviewing the test to determine the appropriate category, and then placing the test into the appropriate category (col. 18, lines 24-26).

As per claim 8, Sonnenfeld teaches the step of receiving input from the test-maker as to the appropriate category (col. 13, lines 30-56).

As per claim 9, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the test-taker chooses a test from the directory (col. 14, lines 31-46).

As per claim 10, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the test-taker is allowed to preview the test chosen from the directory (col. 16, lines 36-66).

As per claim 12, Lotvin teaches wherein the test-maker and the proprietor of the host system share the revenues generated by the test-taker taking the test (col. 2, lines 5-2).

As per claim 13, Sonnenfeld teaches wherein the compiled test is placed in a restricted directory and the test-taker must have know a password to access the compiled test (col. 14, lines 57-67, col. 15, lines 33-50, col. 20-21, lines 31-5).

Art Unit: 2177

As per claims 15-17, Sonnenfeld teaches:

providing a host system and a plurality of remote terminals operatively coupled to the Internet (col. 1, lines 5-9, col. 3, lines 12-45);

placing a test in a restricted directory for potential test-takers (col. 12, lines 56-65);

requiring a test-taker to input a password to have access to the restricted directory (col. 7, lines 15-23).

wherein the restricted directory includes academic practice tests (col. 24, lines 29-35).

Sonnenfeld does not explicitly and a school enrolls students as a set cost per school year, charge for the restricted directory is based on per time a test is taken, per time a user visits the directory. However, Lotvin teaches parent can establish an account for their children and set the payment limit to their children's account (col. 7, lines 45-67, col. 13, lines 37-67, col. 14, lines 1-9) and the child is allowed to make any of selection of activities that are given to his (col. 9, lines 36-64). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sonnenfeld with Lotvin to provide a student with efficient to access the information on the internet wherever, whenever they wish.

As per claim 18, Sonnenfeld teaches:

a first computer operatively coupled to the Internet, the first computer including software means for generating the test and software means for posting

Art Unit: 2177

the test on the Internet (col. 1, lines 5-9, col. 3, lines 12-45, col. 12, lines 56-65); and

a second computer operatively coupled to the Internet, the second computer operative to allow a user to take the test and receive test results (col. 5-6, lines 48-25).

Sonnenfeld does not explicitly teach a test-taker is required to pay to take the compile test. However, Lotvin discloses a test-taker is required to pay to take the test (fig. 4, col. 9, lines 36-64). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the process of charging a fee for accessing information provide over internet because it would meet the user's need for access in way that is secure but fast.

Claim 19 and 20 are rejected by the same rationale as stated in independent claim 1 argument.

As per claim 21, Lotvin teaches wherein the host system include a procedure for opening an account for a test-taker (col. 7, lines 30-67).

Conclusion

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose phone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M LE whose telephone number is 703-308-6049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2177

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-7960.

DEBBIE M LE Examiner

Art Unit 2177

Debbie Le April 17, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100